arXiv:math/0611870v1 [math.PR] 28 Nov 2006

Reflected BSDE with monotonicity and general increasing in y, and non-Lipschitz conditions in z

Mingyu Xu [*]

Departement des Mathématiques, Université du Maine, France;

Department of Financial Mathematics and Control science, School of Mathematical Science,

Fudan University, Shanghai, 200433, China.

Draft : March 1st, 2006

February 2, 2008

Abstract In this paper, we study the reflected BSDE with one continuous barrier, under the monotonicity and general increasing condition on y and non Lipschitz condition on z. We prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution to these equation by approximation method.

Keywords Reflected backward stochastic differential equation, monotonicity, non-Lipschitz

1 Introduction

Nonlinear backward stochastic differential equations (BSDE in short) were firstly introduced by Pardoux and Peng in 1990, [12]. They proved that there exists a unique solution (Y, Z) to this equation if the terminal condition ξ and coefficient f satisfy smooth square-integrability assumptions and $f(t, \omega, y, z)$ is Lipschitz in (y, z) uniformy in (t, ω). Later many assumptions have been made to relax the Lipschitz condition on f. Pardoux (1999, [11]) and Briand et al. (2003, [1]) studied the solution of a BSDE with a coefficient

---

[*] Email: xvmingyu@gmail.com

$f(t,\omega,y,z)$, which still satisfies the Lipschitz condition on z, but only monotonicity, continuity and generalized increasing on y, i.e.for some continuous increasing function $\varphi:\mathbb{R}_+\rightarrow\mathbb{R}_+$, real number $\mu>0$:

$$\begin{aligned}|f(t,y,0)| &\leq |f(t,0,0)|+\varphi(|y|),\ \forall(t,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R},\text{ a.s.}; \qquad (1)\\ (y-y')(f(t,y,z) &- f(t,y',z))\leq\mu(y-y')^2,\ \forall(t,z)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d,\ y,y'\in\mathbb{R},\text{ a.s.}\end{aligned}$$

The case when f is quadratic on z and ξ is bounded was firstly studied by Kobylanski in [6]. She proved an existence result when the coefficient is only linear growth in y, and quadratic in z. In [9], Lepeltier and San Martín generalized to a superlinear case in y. More recently, in [2], they and Briand considered the BSDE whose coefficient f satisfies only monotonicity, continuity and generalized increasing on y, and quadratic or linear increasing in z, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}(y-y')(f(t,y,z) &- f(t,y',z))\leq\mu(y-y')^2,\ \forall(t,z)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d,\ y,y'\in\mathbb{R},\text{ a.s.}\\ |f(t,y,z)| &\leq \varphi(|y|)+A\left|z\right|^2,\ \forall(t,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R},\text{ a.s.}; \qquad (2)\end{aligned}$$

or

$$|f(t,y,z)|\leq g_t+\varphi(|y|)+A\left|z\right|,\forall(t,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R},\text{ a.s.}. \qquad (3)$$

In the same paper, they studied the case $f(t,y,z)=|z|^p$, for $p\in(1,2]$, and gave some sufficient and necessary conditions on ξ for the existence of solutions.

El Karoui, Kapoudjian, Pardoux, Peng and Quenez introduced the notion of reflected BSDE (RBSDE in short) on one lower barrier in 1997, [4]: the solution is forced to remain above a continuous process, which is considered as the lower barrier. More precisely, a solution for such equation associated to a coefficient $f(t,\omega,y,z)$, a terminal value ξ, a continuous barrier L, is a triple $(Y_t,Z_t,K_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ of adapted processes valued on $\mathbb{R}^{1+d+1}$, which satisfies a square integrability condition,

$$Y_t=\xi+\int_t^T f(s,Y_s,Z_s)ds+K_T-K_t-\int_t^T Z_s dB_s, 0\leq t\leq T,\text{ a.s.},$$

and $Y_t\geq L_t$, $0\leq t\leq T$, a.s.. Furthermore, the process $(K_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ is non decreasing, continuous, and the role of K_t is to push upward the state process in a minimal way, to keep it above L. In this sense it satisfies $\int_0^T(Y_s-L_s)dK_s=0$. They proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution when

$f(t,\omega,y,z)$ is Lipschitz in (y,z) uniformly in (t,ω). Then Matoussi (1997, [10]) consider RBSDE's where the coefficient f is continuous and at most linear growth in y, z. In this case, he proved the existence of maximal solution for the RBSDE.

In [7], Kobylanski, Lepeltier, Quenez and Torres proved the existence of a maximal and minimal bounded solution for the RBSDE when the coefficient $f(t,\omega,y,z)$ is super linear increasing in y and quadratic in z, i.e. there exists a function l strictly positive such that

$$|f(t,y,z)| \leq l(y) + A\left|z\right|^2, \text{ with } \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{l(x)} = +\infty.$$

In this case, ξ and L are required to be bounded, and L is a continuous process. Recently, in [8] Lepeltier, Matoussi and Xu considered the case when $f(t,\omega,y,z)$ satisfies (1) and is Lipschitz in z. They proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution by an approximation procedure.

In this paper, we study the RBSDEs whose the coefficient f satisfies the conditions (2) or (3), when the lower barrier L is uniformly bounded. We prove the existence of a solution, following the methods in [2], and we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the case when $f(t,\omega,y,z) = |z|^2$, and its explicit solution.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we present the basic assumptions and the definition of the RBSDE; then in Section 3, we prove the existence of a solution when $f(t,\omega,y,z)$ satisfies the conditions (2), ξ and L are bounded; in the following section, we consider the case when $f(t,\omega,y,z) = |z|^2$, and ξ is not necessarily bounded. In this section, we give a necessary and sufficient condition on the terminal condition ξ for $p = 2$ and its explicit solution. Finally, in section 5, we study the RBSDE with the condition (3), and prove the existence of a solution. At last, in Appendix, we generalize the comparison theorem in [7], and get some comparison theorems, which help us to pass to the limit in the approximations.

2 Notations

Let $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$ be a complete probability space, and $(B_t)_{0\leq t\leq T} = (B_t^1, B_t^2, \cdots, B_t^d)'_{0\leq t\leq T}$ be a d-dimensional Brownian motion defined on a finite interval $[0,T]$, $0 < T < +\infty$. Denote by $\{\mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ the standard filtration generated by the Brownian motion B, i.e. $\mathcal{F}_t$ is the completion of

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{B_s; 0 \leq s \leq t\},$$

with respect to $(\mathcal{F}, P)$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the σ-algebra of predictable sets on $[0,T] \times \Omega$.

We will need the following spaces:

$\mathbf{L}^2(\mathcal{F}_t) =$ $\{\eta : \mathcal{F}_t$-measurable random real-valued variable, s.t. $E(|\eta|^2) < +\infty\}$,
$\mathbf{H}_n^2(0,T) =$ $\{(\psi_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$: predictable process valued in $\mathbb{R}^n$, s.t. $E\int_0^T |\psi(t)|^2\, dt < +\infty\}$,
$\mathbf{S}^2(0,T) =$ $\{(\psi_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$: progressively measurable, continuous, real-valued process, s.t. $E(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} |\psi(t)|^2) < +\infty\}$,
$\mathbf{A}^2(0,T) =$ $\{(K_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$: adapted continuous increasing process, s.t. $K(0) = 0$, $E(K(T)^2) < +\infty\}$.

Now we introduce the definition of the solution of reflected backward stochastic differential equation with a terminal condition ξ, a coefficient f and a continuous reflecting lower barrier L(in short RBSDE(ξ, f, L)), which is the same as in El Karoui et al.(1997, [4]).

Definition 2.1 *We say that the triple* $(Y_t, Z_t, K_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ *of progressively measurable processes is a solution of RBSDE*(ξ, f, L)*, if the followings hold:*

(i) $(Y_t)_{0\leq t\leq T} \in \mathbf{S}^2(0,T)$, $(Z_t)_{0\leq t\leq T} \in \mathbf{H}_d^2(0,T)$, *and* $(K_t)_{0\leq t\leq T} \in \mathbf{A}^2(0,T)$.
(ii) $Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds + K_T - K_t - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \ \ 0 \leq t \leq T$ *a.s.*
(iii) $Y_t \geq L_t, \ \ 0 \leq t \leq T$.
(iv) $\int_0^T (Y_s - L_s) dK_s = 0$, *a.s.*

3 The general case of f quadratic increasing

In this section, we work under the following assumptions:

Assumption 1. ξ is an $\mathcal{F}_T$-adapted and bounded random variable;

Assumption 2. a coefficient $f : \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, is such that for some continuous increasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$, real numbers μ and $A > 0$ and $\forall (t, y, y'z) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

(i) $f(\cdot, y, z)$ is progressively measurable;
(ii) $|f(t,y,z)| \leq \varphi(|y|) + A\,|z|^2$;
(iii) $(y - y')(f(t,y,z) - f(t,y',z)) \leq \mu(y - y')^2$;
(iv) $y \to f(t,y,z)$ is continuous, a.s.

Assumption 3. a barrier $(L_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$, is a bounded continuous progressively measurable real-valued process, $b := \sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|L_t| < +\infty$, $L_T \leq \xi$, a.s.

Then we present our main result in this section.

Theorem 3.1 *Under the* ***Assumptions 1, 2*** *and* ***3****, RBSDE*(ξ, f, L) *admits a maximal bounded solution.*

Proof. First, notice that (Y, Z, K) is the solution of RBSDE(ξ, f, L) if and only if (Y^b, Z^b, K^b) is the solution of the RBSDE(ξ^b, f^b, L^b), where

$$(Y^b, Z^b, K^b) = (Y - b, Z, K),$$

and

$$(\xi^b, f^b(t, y, z), L^b) = (\xi - b, f(s, y + b, z), L - b).$$

Notice that (ξ^b, f^b, L^b) satisfies **Assumption 1, 2** and $-2b \leq L^b \leq 0$. So in the following, we assume that the barrier L is a negative bounded process.

For $C > 0$, set $g^C : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function, such that $0 \leq g^C(y) \leq 1$, $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} g^C(y) &= 1, \text{ if } |y| \leq C, \\ g^C(y) &= 0, \text{ if } |y| \geq 2C. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Denote $f^C(t, y, z) = g^C(y) f(t, y, z)$; then

$$\begin{aligned} \left|f^C(t, y, z)\right| &\leq g^C(y)(\varphi(|y|) + A|z|^2) \\ &\leq 1_{[-2C,2C]}(y)(\varphi(|y|) + A|z|^2) \\ &\leq \varphi(2C) + A|z|^2 . \end{aligned}$$

From the theorem 1 in [7], there exists a maximal solution (Y^C, Z^C, K^C) to the RBSDE(ξ, f^C, L)

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^C &= \xi + \int_t^T g^C(Y_s^C) f(s, Y_s^C, Z_s^C) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^C dB_s + K_T^C - K_t^C, \quad (5) \\ Y_t^C &\geq L_t, \int_0^T (Y_t^C - L_t) dK_t^C = 0, \text{ a.e..} \end{aligned}$$

We choose $n \geq 2$ even, and $a \in \mathbb{R}$; applying Itô's formula to $e^{at}(Y_t^C)^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{at}(Y_t^C)^n &= e^{aT}\xi^n + n\int_t^T e^{as}(Y_s^C)^{n-1}g^C(Y_s^C)f(s,Y_s^C,Z_s^C)ds - n\int_t^T e^{as}(Y_s^C)^{n-1}Z_s^C dB_s \quad (6) \\ &\quad -\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\int_t^T e^{as}(Y_s^C)^{n-2}\left|Z_s^C\right|^2 ds + n\int_t^T e^{as}(Y_s^C)^{n-1}dK_s^C - a\int_t^T e^{as}(Y_s^C)^n ds. \end{aligned}$$

From **Assumption 2** and the fact that n is even, we have

$$\begin{aligned} yf(s,y,z) &\leq yf(s,0,z) + \mu y^2, \\ y^{n-1}f(s,y,z) &\leq y^{n-1}f(s,0,z) + \mu y^n. \end{aligned}$$

With $0 \leq g^C(y) \leq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g^C(y)y^{n-1}f(s,y,z) &\leq g^C(y)\left|y\right|^{n-1}f(s,0,z) + \mu y^n \\ &\leq g^C(y)\left|y\right|^{n-1}(\varphi(0) + A\left|z\right|^2) + \mu y^n \\ &\leq (\frac{1}{n} + \frac{n-1}{n}\left|y\right|^n)\varphi(0) + A\left|z\right|^2 g^C(y)\left|y\right|^{n-1} + \mu y^n \\ &\leq (1+y^n)\varphi(0) + 2CA\left|z\right|^2 y^{n-2} + \mu y^n. \end{aligned}$$

Substitute it into (6), then

$$\begin{aligned} e^{at}(Y_t^C)^n &\leq e^{aT}\xi^n + \frac{n\varphi(0)}{a}(e^{aT} - e^{at}) + (n\varphi(0) + n\mu - a)\int_t^T e^{as}(Y_s^C)^n ds \\ &\quad +(2nCA - \frac{n(n-1)}{2})\int_t^T e^{as}(Y_s^C)^{n-2}\left|Z_s^C\right|^2 ds + n\int_t^T e^{as}(L_s)^{n-1}dK_s^C \\ &\quad -n\int_t^T e^{as}(Y_s^C)^{n-1}Z_s^C dB_s. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that since K^C is an increasing process, n is even and $L \leq 0$, we get immediately

$$\int_t^T e^{as}(L_s)^{n-1}dK_s^C \leq 0.$$

If we choose n and a satisfying

$$n - 1 \geq 4CA, a = n(\varphi(0) + \mu),$$

then

$$e^{at}(Y_t^C)^n \le e^{aT}\xi^n + \frac{n\varphi(0)}{a}(e^{aT}-e^{at}) - n\int_t^T e^{as}(Y_s^C)^{n-1}Z_s^C dB_s.$$

It follows that

$$e^{at}(Y_t^C)^n \le E[e^{aT}(\xi^n + \frac{n\varphi(0)}{a})|\mathcal{F}_t] \le e^{aT}(\|\xi\|_\infty^n + 1),$$

at last we get

$$(Y_t^C)^n \le e^{a(T-t)}(\|\xi\|_\infty^n + 1) \le (e^{aT}\vee 1)(\|\xi\|_\infty^n + 1).$$

Since $a = n(\varphi(0)+\mu)$, it follows that

$$\left|Y_t^C\right| \le (e^{(\varphi(0)+\mu)T}\vee 1)(\|\xi\|_\infty^n + 1)^{\frac{1}{n}} \le (e^{(\varphi(0)+\mu)T}\vee 1)(\|\xi\|_\infty + 1).$$

If C is chosen to satisfy $C \ge (e^{(\varphi(0)+\mu)T}\vee 1)(\|\xi\|_\infty + 1)$, then we have $\left|Y_t^C\right| \le C$, which implies $g^C(Y_t^C) = 1$, for $0 \le t \le T$. So, (Y^C, Z^C, K^C) is the solution of the RBSDE(ξ, f, L). $\square$

4 The case $f(t,y,z) = |z|^2$

In this section we consider the case $f(t,y,z) = |z|^2$, which corresponds to the RBSDE

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T |Z_s|^2 ds + K_T - K_t - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \qquad (7) \\ Y_t &\ge L_t, \int_0^T (Y_t - L_t)dK_t = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

Theorem 4.1 *Under the assumption* $E(\sup_{0\le t\le T} e^{2L_t}) < +\infty$, *the RBSDE*$(\xi, f, L)$ *(7) admits a solution if and only if* $E(e^{2\xi}) < +\infty$.

Proof. For the necessary part, let (Y, Z, K) be a solution of the RBSDE (7). By Itô's formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2Y_t} &= e^{2\xi} + 2\int_t^T e^{2Y_s}dK_s - 2\int_t^T e^{Y_s}Z_s dB_s \qquad (8) \\ &= e^{2Y_0} + 2\int_0^t e^{2Y_s}Z_s dB_s - 2\int_0^t e^{2Y_s}dK_s. \end{aligned}$$

Let for all n, $\tau_n = \inf\{t : Y_t \geq n\} \wedge T$, then $M_{t\wedge\tau_n} = 2\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_n} e^{2Y_s} Z_s dB_s$ is a martingale, and we have

$$E[e^{2Y_{\tau_n}}] = E[e^{2Y_0} - 2\int_0^t e^{2Y_s} dK_s] \leq E[e^{2Y_0}],$$

in view of $2\int_0^t e^{2Y_s} dK_s \geq 0$. Finally, since $\tau_n \nearrow T$, when $n \to \infty$:

$$E[\underline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} e^{2Y_{\tau_n}}] = E[e^{2\xi}] \leq E[e^{2Y_0}] < \infty,$$

follows from Fatou's Lemma.

Now we suppose $E(e^{2\xi}) < +\infty$, set $\widetilde{L}_t = L_t 1_{\{t<T\}} + \xi 1_{\{t=T\}}$ and

$$N_t = S_t(e^{2\widetilde{L}}) = ess \sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}_{t,T}} E[e^{2\widetilde{L}_\tau}|\mathcal{F}_t],$$

where $S_t(\eta)$ denotes the Snell envelope of η (See El Karoui [3]), $\mathcal{T}_{t,T}$ is the set of all stopping times valued in $[t, T]$. Since

$$E[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} e^{2\widetilde{L}_t}] \leq E[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} e^{2L_t} + e^{2\xi}] < +\infty,$$

using the results of Snell envelope, we know that N is a supermartingale, so it admits the following decomposition: for an increasing integrable process $\overline{K}$,

$$N_t = N_0 + \int_0^t \overline{Z}_s dB_s - \overline{K}_t.$$

Applying Itô's formula to $\log N_t$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\log N_t = \frac{1}{2}\log N_0 + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \frac{\overline{Z}_s}{N_s} dB_s - \frac{1}{4}\int_0^t (\frac{\overline{Z}_s}{N_s})^2 ds - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \frac{1}{N_s} d\overline{K}_s.$$

Set $Y_t = \frac{1}{2}\log N_t$, $Z_t = \frac{\overline{Z}_t}{2N_t}$, $K_t = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \frac{1}{N_s} d\overline{K}_s$, then the triple satisfies

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T Z_s^2 ds + K_T - K_t - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s. \tag{9}$$

Thanks to the results on the Snell envelope, we know that $N_t \geq e^{2\widetilde{L}_t}$ and $\int_0^T (N_t - e^{2\widetilde{L}_t}) d\overline{K}_t = 0$. The first implies

$$Y_t \geq \widetilde{L}_t \geq L_t.$$

Obviously, $N_t > 0$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, so K is increasing. Consider the stopping time $D_t := \inf\{t \leq u \leq T; Y_u = L_u\} \wedge T$, then it satisfies $D_t = \inf\{t \leq u \leq T; N_u = e^{2L_u}\} \wedge T$. By the continuity of $\overline{K}$, we get $\overline{K}_{D_t} - \overline{K}_t = 0$, which implies $K_{D_t} - K_t = 0$. It follows that

$$\int_0^T (Y_t - L_t) dK_t = 0.$$

Now the rest is to prove $Y_t \in \mathbf{S}^2(0,T)$, $Z_t \in \mathbf{H}^2_d(0,T)$, and $K_t \in \mathbf{A}^2(0,T)$. With Jensen's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \frac{1}{2}\log N_t = \frac{1}{2}\log[ess \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{t,T}} E[e^{2\widetilde{L}_\tau}|\mathcal{F}_t]] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\log[\exp(ess \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{t,T}} E[2\widetilde{L}_\tau|\mathcal{F}_t])] \\ &= ess \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{t,T}} E[\widetilde{L}_\tau|\mathcal{F}_t] \geq E[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t] \geq U_t, \end{aligned}$$

where $U_t = -E[\xi^-|\mathcal{F}_t]$. For all $a > 0$, define

$$\tau_a = \inf\{t; |N_t| > a, \int_0^t (\frac{\overline{Z}_s}{N_s})^2 ds > a, \left|\int_0^t \frac{\overline{Z}_s}{N_s} dB_s\right| > a\}.$$

From (9), we get for $0 \leq t \leq T$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^t Z_s^2 ds = Y_0 - Y_t + \int_0^t Z_s dB_s - K_t \\ &\leq Y_0 - U_t + \int_0^t Z_s dB_s. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(\int_0^{\tau_a} Z_s^2 ds)^2 \leq 3(Y_0)^2 + 3(U_{\tau_a})^2 + 3(\int_0^{\tau_a} Z_s dB_s)^2.$$

Taking the expectation, using the Jensen's inequality and $3x \leq \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{9}{2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(\int_0^{\tau_a} Z_s^2 ds)^2 &\leq \frac{3}{4}(\log N_0)^2 + 3E(\xi^-)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(E(\int_0^{\tau_a} Z_s^2 ds))^2 + \frac{9}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{3}{4}(\log N_0)^2 + 3E(\xi^-)^2 + \frac{1}{2}E(\int_0^{\tau_a} Z_s^2 ds)^2 + \frac{9}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$E(\int_0^{\tau_a} Z_s^2 ds)^2 \leq \frac{3}{2}(\log N_0)^2 + 6E(\xi^-)^2 + 9 \leq C.$$

Since $\tau_a \nearrow T$ when $a \to +\infty$, we get to the limit, and with the Schwartz inequality

$$E\int_0^T Z_s^2 ds \leq (E(\int_0^T Z_s^2 ds)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C.$$

So $Z \in \mathbf{H}_d^2(0,T)$. From (9), we get for $0 \leq t \leq T$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq K_t = Y_0 - Y_t + \int_0^t Z_s dB_s - \int_0^t Z_s^2 ds \\ &\leq Y_0 - Y_t + \int_0^t Z_s dB_s. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that K is increasing, so it's sufficient to prove $E[K_T^2] < +\infty$. Squaring the inequality on both sides and taking expectation, we obtain

$$E[(K_T)^2] \leq 3Y_0^2 + 3E[\xi^2] + 3E\int_0^T Z_s^2 ds \leq C.$$

We consider now Y; again from (9),

$$Y_t = Y_0 - K_t + \int_0^t Z_s dB_s - \int_0^t Z_s^2 ds,$$

so

$$(Y_t)^2 \leq 4\left(Y_0\right)^2 + 4\left(K_t\right)^2 + 4\left(\int_0^t Z_s dB_s\right)^2 + 4\left(\int_0^t Z_s^2 ds\right)^2.$$

Then by the Bukholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}(Y_t)^2] &\leq 4\left(Y_0\right)^2 + 4E[K_T^2] + 4E[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\left(\int_0^t Z_s dB_s\right)^2] + 4E\left(\int_0^T Z_s^2 ds\right)^2 \\ &\leq 4\left(Y_0\right)^2 + 4E[K_T^2] + CE\left(\int_0^t Z_s^2 dB_s\right) + 4E\left(\int_0^T Z_s^2 ds\right)^2 \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $Y \in \mathbf{S}^2(0,T)$. $\square$

5 The case when f is linear increasing in z

In this section, we assume that the coefficient f satisfies

Assumption 6. (i) $f(\cdot, y, z)$ is progressively measurable, and $E\int_0^T f^2(t,0,0)dt < +\infty$;

(ii) for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\forall (t,z) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(y - y')(f(t,y,z) - f(t,y',z)) \leq \mu(y-y')^2;$$

(iii) there exists a nonegative, continuous, increasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$, with $\varphi(0) = 0$, s.t. $\forall (t,y,z) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|f(t,y,z)| \leq |g_t| + \varphi(|y|) + \beta |z|,$$

where $g_t \in \mathbf{H}^2(0,T)$;

(iv) for $t \in [0,T]$, $(y,z) \to f(t,y,z)$ is continuous.

If $\varphi(x) = |x|$, then f is linear increasing in y and z. Matoussi proved in [10] that when $\xi \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$ and $L \in \mathbf{S}^2(0,T)$, there exists a triple (Y,Z,K) which is solution of the RBSDE(ξ, f, L).

Our result of this section is the following:

Theorem 5.1 *Suppose that $\xi \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$, f and L satisfy **Assumption 6** and **3**, respectively, then the RBSDE(ξ, f, L) has a minimal solution $(Y,Z,K) \in \mathbf{S}^2(0,T) \times \mathbf{H}^2_d(0,T) \times \mathbf{A}^2(0,T)$, which satisfies*

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds + K_T - K_t - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s,$$

$Y_t \geq L_t$, and $\int_0^T (Y_s - L_s)dK_s = 0$.

First we note that the triple (Y,Z,K) solves the RBSDE(ξ, f, L), if and only if the triple

$$(\overline{Y}_t, \overline{Z}_t, \overline{K}_t) := (e^{\lambda t} Y_t, e^{\lambda t} Z_t, \int_0^t e^{\lambda s} dK_s) \tag{10}$$

solves the RBSDE$(\overline{\xi}, \overline{f}, \overline{L})$, where

$$(\overline{\xi}, \overline{f}(t,y,z), \overline{L}_t) = (\xi e^{\lambda T}, e^{\lambda t} f(t, e^{-\lambda t} y, e^{-\lambda t} z) - \lambda y, e^{\lambda t} L_t).$$

If we choose $\lambda = \mu$, then the coefficient $\overline{f}$ satisfies the same assumptions as in **Assumption 6**, with (ii) replaced by

(ii') $(y - y')(f(t,y,z) - f(t,y',z)) \leq 0$.

Since we are in the 1-dimensional case, (ii') means that f is decreasing on y. From another part $\overline{\xi}$ still belongs to $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$ and the barrier $\overline{L}$ still satisfy the assumptions **Assumption 3**. So in the following, we shall work under **Assumption 6'** with (ii) replaced by (ii').

Before proving this theorem, we consider an estimate result and a monotonic stability theorem for RBSDEs.

Lemma 5.1 *We consider RBSDE(ξ, g, L), with $\xi \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$, g and L satisfy **Assumption 6'** and **3**. Moreover $g(t,y,z)$ is Lipschitz in z. Then we have the following estimation*

$$\begin{aligned} & E[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} |y_t|^2 + \int_0^T |z_s|\, ds + |k_T|^2] \\ \leq \; & C_\beta E[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T g_s^2 ds + \varphi^2(b) + \varphi^2(2T) + 1] \end{aligned}$$

where $(y_t, z_t, k_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ is the solution of RBSDE(ξ, g, L). C_β is a constant only depends on β, T and b.

Remark 5.1 *The constant C_β does not depend on Lipschitz coefficient of g on z.*

Proof. Since g is Lipschitz in z, by the theorem 2 in [8], the RBSDE(ξ, g, L) admits the unique solution $(y_t, z_t, k_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$. Apply Itô's formula to $|y_t|^2$, in view of $yg(t,y,z) \leq g(t,0,0)\,|y| + \beta\,|y|\,|z|$ and $\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} |L_t| \leq b$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E[|y_t|^2 + \int_t^T |z_s|^2\, ds] &= E[|\xi|^2 + 2\int_t^T y_s g(s, y_s, z_s) ds + 2\int_t^T L_s dk_s] \\ &\leq E[|\xi|^2 + 2\int_t^T y_s g_s ds + 2\beta \int_t^T y_s z_s ds + 2b(k_T - k_t)]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & E[|y_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_t^T |z_s|^2\, ds] \\ \leq \; & E[|\xi|^2 + \int_t^T g_s^2 ds + (1 + 2\beta^2) \int_t^T |y_s|^2\, ds + 2b(k_T - k_t)]. \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we know there exists a constant c_1 depending on β and T, such that for $t \in [0,T]$,

$$E[|y_t|^2] \leq c_1 E[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T g_s^2 ds + b(k_T - k_t)]. \tag{11}$$

It follows that

$$E[\int_t^T |z_s|^2 \, ds] \leq 2(1 + (1+2\beta^2)T) c_1 E[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T g_s^2 ds + b(k_T - k_t)]. \tag{12}$$

Now we estimate the increasing process k by approximation. Take z as a known process, without losing of generality, we write $g(t,y)$ for $g(t,y,z_t)$, here $g(t,0) = g(t,0,z_t)$ is a process in $\mathbf{H}^2(0,T)$ in view of linear increasing property of g on z.

For m, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, set $\xi^{m,p} = (\xi \vee (-p)) \wedge m$, $g^{m,p}(t,u) = g(t,u) - g_t + (g_t \vee (-p)) \wedge m$. We consider RBSDE$(\xi^{m,p}, g^{m,p}, L)$,

$$\begin{aligned} y_t^{m,p} &= \xi^{m,p} + \int_t^T g^{m,p}(s, y_s^{m,p}) ds + k_T^{m,p} - k_t^{m,p} - \int_t^T z_s^{m,p} dB_s, \qquad (13) \\ y_t^{m,p} &\geq L_t, \int_0^T (y_t^{m,p} - L_t) dk_t^{m,p} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $(y^{m,p}, z^{m,p}, k^{m,p})$ is the solution of RBSDE$(\xi^{m,p}, g^{m,p}, L)$, if and only if $(\widehat{y}^{m,p}, \widehat{z}^{m,p}, \widehat{k}^{m,p})$ is the solution of RBSDE$(\widehat{\xi}^{m,p}, \widehat{g}^{m,p}, \widehat{L})$, where

$$(\widehat{y}_t^{m,p}, \widehat{z}_t^{m,p}, \widehat{k}_t^{m,p}) = (y_t^{m,p} + m(t - 2(T \vee 1)), z_t^{m,p}, k_t^{m,p}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\xi}^{m,p} &= \xi^{m,p} + mT - 2m(T \vee 1), \\ \widehat{g}^{m,p}(t,y) &= g^{m,p}(t, y - m(t - 2(T \vee 1))) - m, \\ \widehat{L}_t &= L_t + m(t - 2(T \vee 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Without losing of generality, we set $T \geq 1$. Since $\xi^{m,p}$ and $g_t^{m,p} \leq m$, we have $\widehat{\xi}^{m,p}$ and $\widehat{g}_t^{m,p} \leq 0$. By (13),

$$\widehat{k}_T^{m,p} - \widehat{k}_t^{m,p} = \widehat{y}_t^{m,p} - \widehat{\xi}^{m,p} - \int_t^T \widehat{g}^{m,p}(s, \widehat{y}_s^{m,p}) ds + \int_t^T \widehat{z}_s^{m,p} dB_s,$$

taking square and expectation on the both sides, we get

$$E[(\widehat{k}_T^{m,p}-\widehat{k}_t^{m,p})^2] \leq 4E[(\widehat{y}_t^{m,p})^2+(\widehat{\xi}^{m,p})^2+(\int_t^T \widehat{g}^{m,p}(s,\widehat{y}_s^{m,p})ds)^2+\int_t^T |\widehat{z}_s^{m,p}|^2\,ds]. \tag{14}$$

In order to estimate the first and the last form on the left side, we apply Itô's formula to $|\widehat{y}_t^{m,p}|^2$, and get the following with Gronwall inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & E[|\widehat{y}_t^{m,p}|^2+\int_t^T |\widehat{z}_s^{m,p}|^2\,ds] \\ \leq\ & c_2E[\left|\widehat{\xi}^{m,p}\right|^2+\int_t^T (\widehat{g}_s^{m,p})^2ds+\int_t^T L_s d\widehat{k}_s^{m,p}], \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where c_2 is a constant only depends on T. For the third term, let us recall a comparison result of $\widehat{y}_t^{m,p}$ in step 2 of the proof of theorem 2 in [8],

$$\widetilde{y}_t^{m,p} \leq \widehat{y}_t^{m,p} \leq \overline{y}_t^{m,p},$$

where $\widetilde{y}_t^{m,p}$ is the solution of BSDE$(\widehat{\xi}^{m,p},\widehat{g}^{m,p})$, i.e.

$$\widetilde{y}_t^{m,p}=\widehat{\xi}^{m,p}+\int_t^T \widehat{g}^{m,p}(s,\widetilde{y}_s^{m,p})ds-\int_t^T \widetilde{z}_s^{m,p}dB_s, \tag{16}$$

and

$$\overline{y}_t^{m,p}=ess\sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}_{t,T}} E[(\widehat{L}_\tau)^+1_{\{\tau<T\}}+(\widehat{\xi}^{m,p})^+1_{\{\tau=T\}}|\mathcal{F}_t],$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{t,T}$ is the set of stoppng times valued in $[t,T]$. Moreover, we have $\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}\overline{y}_s^{m,p}=\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}\widehat{L}_s$.

Since $\widehat{g}^{m,p}$ is decreasing in y, we get

$$\widehat{g}^{m,p}(s,\overline{y}_t^{m,p}) \leq \widehat{g}^{m,p}(s,\widehat{y}_s^{m,p}) \leq \widehat{g}^{m,p}(s,\widetilde{y}_s^{m,p}).$$

So to estimate $E[(\int_t^T \widehat{g}^{m,p}(s,\widehat{y}_s^{m,p})ds)^2]$, it is sufficante to get the estimations of $E[(\int_t^T \widehat{g}^{m,p}(s,\widetilde{y}_s^{m,p})ds)^2]$ and $E[(\int_t^T \widehat{g}^{m,p}(s,\overline{y}_s^{m,p})ds)^2]$. First we know that

$$\begin{aligned} E[(\int_t^T \widehat{g}^{m,p}(s,\widetilde{y}_s^{m,p})ds)^2] &\leq 3E[\left|\widehat{\xi}^{m,p}\right|^2+|\widetilde{y}_t^{m,p}|^2+\int_t^T |\widetilde{z}_s^{m,p}|^2\,ds] \\ &\leq c_3E[\left|\widehat{\xi}^{m,p}\right|^2+\int_t^T (\widehat{g}_s^{m,p})^2ds], \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

in view of estimate result of BSDE(16). Here c_3 is a constant only depends on T. Then with the presentation of $\overline{y}_t^{m,p}$, we have

$$E[(\int_t^T \widehat{g}^{m,p}(s,\overline{y}_s^{m,p})ds)^2] \leq E[2T\int_0^T (\widehat{g}_s^{m,p})^2 ds + 2T\varphi^2(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}(\widehat{L}_t)^+)] \quad (18)$$

From (14), with (15), (17) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[(k_T^{m,p}-k_t^{m,p})^2] &= E[(\widehat{k}_T^{m,p}-\widehat{k}_t^{m,p})^2] \\ &\leq c_4 E[\left|\widehat{\xi}^{m,p}\right|^2 + \int_t^T (\widehat{g}_s^{m,p})^2 ds + \int_t^T L_s d\widehat{k}_s^{m,p} + \varphi^2(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}(\widehat{L}_t)^+)] \\ &\leq c_4 E[2\left|\xi^{m,p}\right|^2 + 4\int_t^T (g_s^{m,p})^2 ds + 2c_4 b^2 + \varphi^2(b)] \\ &\quad +4c_4(m^2T^2+\varphi^2(2mT)) + \frac{1}{2}E[(k_T^{m,p}-k_t^{m,p})^2], \end{aligned}$$

where $c_4 = c_2 \vee c_3 \vee (2T)$, which only depends on T. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & E[(k_T^{m,p}-k_t^{m,p})^2] \\ \leq\ & c_5 E[|\xi^{m,p}|^2 + \int_t^T (g_s^{m,p})^2 ds + b^2 + \varphi^2(b)] + c_5(m^2T^2+\varphi^2(2mT)) \\ \leq\ & c_5 E[|\xi|^2 + \int_t^T g_s^2 ds + b^2 + \varphi^2(b)] + c_5(m^2T^2+\varphi^2(2mT)), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_5 = 4c_4^2 \vee 8c_4$.

Now we consider the RBSDE(ξ^p, g^p, L), where $\xi^p = \xi \vee (-p)$, $g^p(t,u) = g(t,u) - g_t + g_t \vee (-p)$. Thanks to the convergence result in [8], we know that

$$(y^{m,p}, z^{m,p}, k^{m,p}) \to (y^p, z^p, k^p) \text{ in } \mathbf{S}^2(0,T)\times\mathbf{H}_d^2(0,T)\times\mathbf{A}^2(0,T),$$

where (y^p, z^p, k^p) is the solution of RBSDE(ξ^p, g^p, L). Moreover, we have $dk_t^p \leq dk_t^{1,p}$, by comparison theorem. So

$$\begin{aligned} E[(k_T^p-k_t^p)^2] &\leq E[(k_T^{1,p}-k_t^{1,p})^2] \\ &\leq c_5 E[|\xi|^2 + \int_t^T g_s^2 ds + b^2 + \varphi^2(b)] + c_5(T^2+\varphi^2(2T)). \end{aligned}$$

Then let $p\to\infty$, thanks to the convergence result in [8], we know

$$(y^p, z^p, k^p) \to (y, z, k) \text{ in } \mathbf{S}^2(0,T)\times\mathbf{H}_d^2(0,T)\times\mathbf{A}^2(0,T).$$

In view **Assumption 6**-(iii), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}E[(k_T-k_t)^2] &\leq c_5 E[|\xi|^2+\int_t^T (g(s,0,z_s))^2 ds + b^2+\varphi^2(b)] \\ &\leq c_5 E[|\xi|^2+2\int_t^T g_s^2 ds + 2\beta^2\int_t^T |z_s|^2 ds + b^2+\varphi^2(b)] \\ &\quad + c_5(T^2+\varphi^2(2T)).\end{aligned}$$

With (12), setting $c_6 = c_5 \vee (4\beta^2(1+(1+2\beta^2)T)c_1+2) \vee c_5(b^2+T^2)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}E[(k_T-k_t)^2] &\leq c_6 E[|\xi|^2+\int_t^T g_s^2 ds + b(k_T-k_t)+\varphi^2(b)+\varphi^2(2T)+1] \\ &\leq c_6 E[|\xi|^2+\int_t^T g_s^2 ds + 2c_6 b^2+\varphi^2(b)+\varphi^2(2T)+1] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}E[(k_T-k_t)^2]\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$E[(k_T-k_t)^2] \leq 2c_6 E[|\xi|^2+2\int_t^T g_s^2 ds + \varphi^2(b)+\varphi^2(2T)+2c_6 b^2+1].$$

Consequantly, by (11) and (12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} E[|y_t|^2]+E[\int_0^T |z_s|\, ds + |k_T|^2] \\ \leq\ & C_\beta E[|\xi|^2+\int_0^T g_s^2 ds + \varphi^2(2T)+\varphi^2(b)+1],\end{aligned}$$

where C_β is a constant only depends on β, T and b. The final result follows from BDG inequality. □

The proof of this theorem is step 1 and step 2 of the proof of theorem 4 in [7], with comparison theorem. So we omit it.

With these preparations, we begin our main proof.

Proof of theorem 5.1. The proof consists 4 step.

Step 1. Approximation. For $n \geq \beta$, we introduce the following functions

$$f_n(t,y,z) = \inf_{q\in\mathbf{Q}^d}\{f(t,y,q)+n|z-q|\},$$

then we have

1. for all (t,z), $y \to f_n(t,y,z)$ is non-increasing;
2. for all (t,y), $z \to f_n(t,y,z)$ is n-Lipschitz;
3. for all (t,y,z), $|f_n(t,y,z)| \leq |g_t| + \varphi(|y|) + \beta |z|$.

Thanks to the results of [8], we know that for each $n \geq \beta$, there exits a unique triple (Y^n, Z^n, K^n) satisfies the followings

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^n &= \xi + \int_t^T f_n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds + K_T^n - K_t^n - \int_t^T Z_s^n dB_s, \\ Y_t^n &\geq L_t, \int_0^T (Y_t^n - L_t) dK_t^n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Estimates results. Let $\alpha \geq 0$, be a real number to be chosen later. We set

$$U_t^n = e^{\alpha t} Y_t^n, V_t^n = e^{\alpha t} Z_t^n, dJ_t^n = e^{\alpha t} dK_t^n.$$

Then we know that (U^n, V^n, J^n) is the solution of the RBSDE associated with (ζ, F_n, L^α), where

$$\zeta = e^{\alpha T}\xi, F_n(t,u,v) = e^{\alpha t} f_n(t, e^{-\alpha t}u, e^{-\alpha t}v) - \alpha u, L_t^\alpha = e^{\alpha t} L_t.$$

It is easy to check

$$|F_n(t,u,v)| \leq e^{\alpha t} |g_t| + e^{\alpha t}\varphi(|u|) + \alpha |u| + \beta |v|,$$

setting $\psi(u) = e^{\alpha T}\varphi(|u|) + \alpha |u|$, with $\psi(u) = 0$, we get that F_n verifies **Assumption 6'**-(iii). Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} uF_n(t,u,v) &= e^{\alpha t} u f_n(t, e^{-\alpha t}u, e^{-\alpha t}v) - \alpha u^2 \\ &\leq u e^{\alpha t} g_t + \beta |u| |v| - \alpha u^2. \end{aligned}$$

And $\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} L_t^\alpha \leq e^{\alpha T} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} L_t \leq e^{\alpha T} b$. Now we apply Itô formula to $|U^n|^2$ on $[0,T]$, and get

$$\begin{aligned} & |U_t^n|^2 + \int_t^T |V_s^n|^2 ds \\ = \;& |\zeta|^2 + 2\int_t^T U_s^n F_n(s, U_s^n, V_s^n) ds + 2\int_t^T U_s^n dJ_s^n - 2\int_t^T U_s^n V_s^n dB_s \\ \leq \;& |\zeta|^2 + \int_t^T e^{2\alpha s} g_s^2 ds + (1 + 2\beta^2 - \alpha)\int_t^T |U_s^n|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_t^T |V_s^n| ds \\ & + \theta e^{2\alpha T} b^2 + \frac{1}{\theta}(J_T^n - J_t^n)^2 - 2\int_t^T U_s^n V_s^n dB_s, \end{aligned}$$

where θ is a constant to be decided later. By taking conditional expectation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |U_t^n|^2 + \frac{1}{2}E[\int_t^T |V_s^n|^2\, ds|\mathcal{F}_t] &\le E[|\zeta|^2 + \int_t^T e^{2\alpha s} g_s^2 ds + \theta e^{2\alpha T} b^2 |\mathcal{F}_t] \\ &\quad +(1+2\beta^2-\alpha)E[\int_t^T |U_s^n|^2\, ds|\mathcal{F}_t] + \frac{1}{\theta}E[(J_T^n - J_t^n)^2|\mathcal{F}_t]. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Since

$$J_T^n - J_t^n = U_t^n - \zeta - \int_t^T F_n(s, U_s^n, V_s^n)ds - \int_t^T V_s^n dB_s,$$

we have

$$E[(J_T^n - J_t^n)^2|\mathcal{F}_t] \le 4\,|U_t^n|^2 + 4E[|\zeta|^2 + (\int_t^T F_n(s, U_s^n, V_s^n)ds)^2 + \int_t^T |V_s^n|^2\, ds|\mathcal{F}_t].$$

Using the same approximation as in Lemma 5.1, except considering conditional expectation $E[\cdot|\mathcal{F}_t]$ instead of expectation, we deduce

$$E[(J_T^n - J_t^n)^2|\mathcal{F}_t] \le c_\beta E[|\zeta|^2 + \int_t^T e^{2\alpha s} g_s^2 ds + \psi^2(e^{\alpha T} b) + \psi^2(2T) + 1|\mathcal{F}_t],$$

where c_β is a constant which only depends on β, T, b and α. Substitute it into (19), set $\alpha = 1 + 2\beta^2$, $\theta = c_\beta$, then we get,

$$\begin{aligned} |U_t^n|^2 &\le 2E[|\zeta|^2 + \int_t^T F_n^2(s,0,0)ds|\mathcal{F}_t] + e^{\alpha T}(\varphi(e^{\alpha T} b) + \varphi(2T)) \\ &\quad +\alpha(e^{\alpha T} b + 2T) + 1 + c_\beta e^{2\alpha T} b^2. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of U^n, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_t^n|^2 &\le e^{-2\alpha t}(2E[e^{2\alpha T}\,|\xi|^2 + \int_t^T e^{2\alpha s} g_s^2 ds|\mathcal{F}_t] + e^{\alpha T}(\varphi(e^{\alpha T} b) + \varphi(2T)) \\ &\quad +\alpha(e^{\alpha T} b + 2T) + 1 + c_\beta e^{2\alpha T} b^2). \end{aligned}$$

If we set $M_t = (e^{2\alpha T} 2E[|\xi|^2 + \int_t^T g_s^2 ds|\mathcal{F}_t] + e^{\alpha T}(\varphi(e^{\alpha T} b) + \varphi(2T)) + c_\beta e^{2\alpha T} b^2 + \alpha(e^{\alpha T} b + 2T) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then

$$|Y_t^n| \le M_t, \forall t \in [0,T]. \tag{20}$$

Step 3. Localisation.

First, we know that the sequence $(f_n)_{n\geq\beta}$ is non-decreasing in n, then from comparison theorem in [8], we get

$$Y_t^n \leq Y_t^{n+1}, \forall t \in [0,T], \forall n \geq \beta.$$

Define $Y_t = \sup_{n\geq\beta} Y_t^n$.

We now consider the localisation procedure. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq b$, let τ_m be the following stopping time

$$\tau_m = \inf\{t \in [0,T] : M_t + g_t \geq m\} \wedge T,$$

and we introduce the stopped process $Y_t^{n,m} = Y_{t\wedge\tau_m}^n$, together with $Z_t^{n,m} = Z_t^n 1_{\{t\leq\tau_m\}}$ and $K_t^{n,m} = K_{t\wedge\tau_m}^n$. Then $(Y_t^{n,m}, Z_t^{n,m}, K_t^{n,m})_{0\leq t\leq T}$ solved the following RBSDE

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^{n,m} &= \xi^{n,m} + \int_t^T 1_{\{s\leq\tau_m\}} f_n(s, Y_s^{n,m}, Z_s^{n,m})ds + K_T^{n,m} - K_t^{n,m} - \int_t^T Z_s^{n,m} dB_s, \\ Y_t^{n,m} &\geq L_t, \int_0^T (Y_t^{n,m} - L_t)dK_t^{n,m} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi^{n,m} = Y_{\tau_m}^{n,m} = Y_{\tau_m}^n$.

Since $(Y^{n,m})_{n\geq\beta}$ is non-decreasing in n, with (20), we get $\sup_{n\geq\beta}\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |Y_t^{n,m}| \leq m$. Set $\rho_m(y) = \frac{ym}{\max\{|y|,m\}}$, then it is easy to check that $(Y^{n,m}, Z^{n,m}, K^{n,m})$ verifies

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^{n,m} &= \xi^{n,m} + \int_t^T 1_{\{s\leq\tau_m\}} f_n(s, \rho_m(Y_s^{n,m}), Z_s^{n,m})ds + K_T^{n,m} - K_t^{n,m} - \int_t^T Z_s^{n,m} dB_s, \\ Y_t^{n,m} &\geq L_t, \int_0^T (Y_t^{n,m} - L_t)dK_t^{n,m} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\left|1_{\{s\leq\tau_m\}} f_n(s, \rho_m(y), z)\right| \leq m + \varphi(m) + \beta\,|z|\,,$$

and $|\xi^{n,m}| \leq m$. From Dini's theorem, we know that $1_{\{s\leq\tau_m\}} f_n(s, \rho_m(y), z)$ converge increasingly to$1_{\{s\leq\tau_m\}} f(s, \rho_m(y), z)$ uniformly on compact set of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, because f_n are continuous and f_n converge increasingly to f. And $\xi^{n,m}$ converge increasingly to ξ^m a.s., where $\xi^m = \sup_{n\geq\beta} \xi^{n,m}$.

As in [10], we can prove that $Y^{n,m}$ converges increasingly to Y^m in $\mathbf{S}^2(0,T)$, and $Z^{n,m} \to Z^m$ in $\mathbf{H}_d^2(0,T)$, $K^{n,m} \searrow K^m$ uniformly on $[0,T]$. Moreover, (Y^m, Z^m, K^m) solves the following RBSDE

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^m &= \xi^m + \int_t^T 1_{\{s\leq\tau_m\}} f(s,\rho_m(Y_s^m),Z_s^m)ds + K_T^m - K_t^m - \int_t^T Z_s^m dB_s, \\ Y_t^m &\geq L_t, \int_0^T (Y_t^m - L_t)dK_t^m = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi^m = \sup_{n\geq\beta} Y_{\tau_m}^{n,m}$. Notice that $|Y_t^m| \leq m$, so we have

$$Y_t^m = \xi^m + \int_t^T 1_{\{s\leq\tau_m\}} f(s,Y_s^m,Z_s^m)ds + K_T^m - K_t^m - \int_t^T Z_s^m dB_s.$$

From the definition of $\{\tau_m\}$, it is easy to check that $\tau_m \leq \tau_{m+1}$, with the definition of Y^m, Z^m, K^m and Y, we get

$$Y_{t\wedge\tau_m} = Y_{t\wedge\tau_m}^{m+1} = Y_t^m, Z_t^{m+1} 1_{\{t\leq\tau_m\}} = Z_t^m, K_{t\wedge\tau_m}^{m+1} = K_t^m.$$

We define

$$Z_t := Z_t^1 1_{\{t\leq\tau_1\}} + \sum_{m\geq 2} Z_t^m 1_{(\tau_{m-1},\tau_m]}(t), \quad K_{t\wedge\tau_m} := K_t^m.$$

Processes (Y^m) are continuous, and P-a.s. $\tau_m = T$, for m large enough, so Y is continuous on $[0,T]$. It follows that K is also continuous on $[0,T]$. Furthermore, we have for $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$Y_{t\wedge\tau_m} = Y_{\tau_m} + \int_{t\wedge\tau_m}^{\tau_m} f(s,Y_s,Z_s)ds + K_{\tau_m} - K_{t\wedge\tau_m} - \int_{t\wedge\tau_m}^{\tau_m} Z_s dB_s. \tag{21}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds &= \infty) = P(\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds = \infty, \tau_m = T) + P(\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds = \infty, \tau_m < T) \\ &\leq P(\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds = \infty) + P(\tau_m < T), \end{aligned}$$

in the same way,

$$P(|K_T|^2 = \infty) \leq P(|K_T|^2 = \infty) + P(\tau_m < T).$$

Since $\tau_m \nearrow T$, P-a.s., we know that $\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 \, ds < \infty$ and $|K_T|^2 < \infty$, P-a.s. Let $m \to \infty$ in (21), we get (Y, Z, K) verifies the equation.

Step 4. We want to prove that the triple (Y, Z, K) is a solution of RBSDE(ξ, f, L).

First, we consider the integrability of (Y, Z, K). By (20), we know for $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$|Y_t| \leq M_t. \tag{22}$$

It follows immediately that

$$E[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t|^2] \leq C_\beta E[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T g_s^2 ds + \varphi^2(b) + \varphi^2(2T) + 1].$$

where C_β is a constant only depends on β, T and b. For K, notice that $K^{n,m} \searrow K^m$, then for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, we know $0 \leq K_t^m \leq K_t^{1,m}$. Obviously, the coefficient $1_{\{s \leq \tau_m\}} f_n(s, \rho_m(y), z)$ satisfies **assumption 6'**, and Lipschitz in z, by Lemma 5.1,

$$E[(K_T^{1,m})^2] \leq C_\beta E[\left|\xi^{1,m}\right|^2 + \int_0^T g_s^2 ds + \varphi^2(b) + \varphi^2(2T) + 1],$$

where $\xi^{1,m} = Y_{\tau_m}^1$. With (20), we have

$$E[(K_T^{1,m})^2] \leq 2C_\beta E[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T g_s^2 ds + \varphi^2(b) + \varphi^2(2T) + 1],$$

which follows that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$E[(K_T^m)^2] \leq 2C_\beta E[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T g_s^2 ds + \varphi^2(b) + \varphi^2(2T) + 1],$$

and so does for K, i.e. we get $E[(K_T)^2] < \infty$.

In order to estimate Z, we apply Itô's formula to $|Y_t|^2$ on the interval $[0, T]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & |Y_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} E \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 \, ds \\ \leq \;& E\,|\xi|^2 + E \int_0^T g_s^2 ds + (1 + 2\beta^2) E \int_0^T |Y_s|^2 \, ds + E[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t|^2] + E[(K_T)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the estimates for Y and K, there exists a constant C only depends on β, T and b, such that

$$E\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 \, ds \leq CE[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T g_s^2 ds + \varphi^2(b) + \varphi^2(2T) + 1].$$

The last is to check the integral condition. Recall that $\int_0^T (Y_t^m - L_t) dK_t^m = 0$, then we have

$$\int_0^{\tau_m} (Y_t - L_t) dK_t = 0, \text{ a.s.}$$

Since P-a.s. $\tau_m = T$, for m large enough, so

$$\int_0^T (Y_t - L_t) dK_t = 0, \text{ a.s.}$$

i.e. (Y, Z, K) is a solution of RBSDE(ξ, f, L) in $\mathbf{S}^2(0,T) \times \mathbf{H}_d^2(0,T) \times \mathbf{A}^2(0,T)$.
□

6 Appendix: Comparison theorems

We first generalize the comparison theorem of RBSDE with superlinear quadratic coefficient, (in view to proposition 3.2 in [7]), to compare the increasing processes. Assume that **Assumption 1** and **3** hold, and that the coefficient f satisfies:

Assumption 7. For all (t, ω), $f(t, \omega, \cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous and there exists a function l strictly positive such that

$$f(t, y, z) \leq l(y) + A\,|z|^2, \text{ with } \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{l(x)} = +\infty.$$

Proposition 6.1 *Suppose that ξ^i are $\mathcal{F}_T$-adapted and bounded, $f^i(s, y, z)$, $i = 1, 2$ satisfy the condition **Assumption 7** and L satisfies **Assumption 3**. The two triples (Y^1, Z^1, K^1), (Y^2, Z^2, K^2) are respectively solutions of the RBSDE(ξ^1, f^1, L) and RBSDE(ξ^2, f^2, L). If we have $\forall (t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\xi^1 \leq \xi^2, f^1(t, y, z) \leq f^2(t, y, z),$$

then $Y_t^1 \leq Y_t^2$, $K_t^1 \geq K_t^2$ and $dK_t^1 \geq dK_t^2$, for $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. From the demonstration of theorem 1 in [7], we know that for $i = 1, 2$, (Y^i, Z^i, K^i) is the solution of RBSDE(ξ^i, f^i, L) if and only if $(\theta^i, J^i, \Lambda^i)$ is the solution of RBSDE$(\eta^i, F^i, \overline{L})$ where

$$(\theta^i, J^i, \Lambda^i) = (\exp(2AY^i), 2AZ^i\theta^i, 2\int_0^{\cdot} A\exp(2AY_s)dK_s^i) \tag{23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^i &= \exp(2A\xi^i), \overline{L}_t = \exp(2AL_t) \\ F^i(t, x, \lambda) &= 2Ax[f^i(s, \frac{\log x}{2A}, \frac{\lambda}{2Ax}) - \frac{|\lambda|^2}{4Ax^2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Then we use the approximation to construct a solution. For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the RBSDE$(\eta^i, \widetilde{F}_p^i, \overline{L}_t)$, where

$$\widetilde{F}_p^i(s, x, \lambda) = g(\rho(\theta))(1 - \kappa_p(\lambda)) + \kappa_p(\lambda)F^i(s, \rho(\theta), \lambda).$$

Here $g(x) = 2Axl(\frac{\log x}{2A})$, $\kappa_p(\lambda)$ and $\rho(x)$ are smooth functions such that $\kappa_p(\lambda) = 1$ if $|\lambda| \leq p$, $\kappa_p(\lambda) = 0$ if $|\lambda| \geq p + 1$, and $\rho(x) = x$ if $x \in [r, R]$, $\rho(x) = \frac{r}{2}$ if $x \in (0, \frac{r}{2})$, $\rho(x) = R$ if $x \in (2R, +\infty)$, where r and R are two constants. Since $\widetilde{F}_p^i$ are bounded and continuous function of (θ, λ), the RBSDE$(\eta^i, \widetilde{F}_p^i, \overline{L}_t)$ admits a bounded maximal solution $(\theta^{i,p}, J^{i,p}, \Lambda^{i,p})$, with $\underline{m} \leq \theta_t^{i,p} \leq V_0$. Here $\underline{m}$ and V_0 are constants given in Theorem 2 in [7].

We know that $\widetilde{F}_p^i \downarrow \widetilde{F}^i$, as $p \to \infty$, where $\widetilde{F}^i = F(s, \rho(\theta), \lambda)$. Thanks to the proof of theorem 1 in [7], it follows that $\theta_t^{i,p} \downarrow \widetilde{\theta}_t^i$, $J_t^{i,p} \uparrow \widetilde{J}_t^i$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, and $\Lambda^{i,p} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}^i$ in $\mathbf{H}_d^2(0, T)$ and $(\widetilde{\theta}^i, \widetilde{J}^i, \widetilde{\Lambda}^i)$ is a solution of the RBSDE$(\eta^i, \widetilde{F}^i, \overline{L}_t)$. In addition, $\underline{m} \leq \widetilde{\theta}_t^i \leq V_0$. So if we choose $0 < r < \underline{m}$ and $V_0 < R$, then $\widetilde{F}^i = F^i$. It follows that $(\widetilde{\theta}^i, \widetilde{J}^i, \widetilde{\Lambda}^i)$ satisfies the RBSDE$(\eta^i, F^i, \overline{L})$, i.e. $(\widetilde{\theta}^i, \widetilde{J}^i, \widetilde{\Lambda}^i) = (\theta^i, J^i, \Lambda^i)$.

Since $f^1(t, y, z) \leq f^2(t, y, z)$, for $(t, x, \lambda) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we have $F^1(t, x, \lambda) \leq F^2(t, x, \lambda)$. Then for $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\widetilde{F}_p^1(s, x, \lambda) \leq \widetilde{F}_p^2(s, x, \lambda)$. Notice that $\widetilde{F}_p^i$ is bounded and continuous in (θ, λ) and $\theta_t^{i,p} > 0$, by Lemma 2.1 in [7], it follows that $\theta_t^{1,p} \leq \theta_t^{2,p}$, $J_t^{1,p} \geq J_t^{2,p}$, $dJ_t^{1,p} \geq dJ_t^{2,p}$, $0 \leq t \leq T$. And it follows that for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$, $J_t^{1,p} - J_s^{1,p} \geq J_t^{2,p} - J_s^{2,p}$. Let $p \to \infty$, thanks to the convergence results, we get that

$$\theta_t^1 \leq \theta_t^2, J_t^1 \geq J_t^2, J_t^1 - J_s^1 \geq J_t^2 - J_s^2,$$

which implies $dJ_t^1 \geq dJ_t^2$. From (10), we know that

$$Y_t^i = \frac{\log(\theta_t^i)}{2A}, Z_t^i = \frac{\Lambda^i}{2A\theta^i}, dK_t^i = \frac{dJ_t^i}{2A\theta_t^i},$$

so $Y_t^1 \leq Y_t^2$ and $dK_t^1 \geq dK_t^2$, which implies that $K_t^1 \geq K_t^2$ in view of $K_0^1 = K_0^2 = 0$. □

From this result, we prove the following comparison theorem when the coefficient f satisfies monotonicity and general increasing condition in y, and quadratic increasing in z.

Proposition 6.2 *Suppose that ξ^i and $f^i(s, y, z)$, $i = 1, 2$ satisfy the condition* ***Asssumption 1*** *and* ***2****, L satisfies* ***Assumption 3.*** *The two triples (Y^1, Z^1, K^1), (Y^2, Z^2, K^2) are respectively the solutions of the RBSDE(ξ^1, f^1, L) and RBSDE(ξ^2, f^2, L). If we have $\forall(t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$f^1(t, y, z) \leq f^2(t, y, z), \quad \xi^1 \leq \xi^2,$$

then $Y_t^1 \leq Y_t^2$, $K_t^1 \geq K_t^2$ and $dK_t^1 \geq dK_t^2$, for $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. First with changement of (Y, Z, K),

$$(Y^b, Z^b, K^b) = (Y - b, Z, K),$$

we work with $L^b \leq 0$. Since this transformation doesn't change the monotonicity, then in the following, we assume that the barrier L is a negative bounded process. As in the proof of theorem 3.1, for $C \in \mathbb{R}_+$, let $g^C : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ continuous which satisfies (4). Set $f_i^C(t, y, z) = g^C(x) f^i(t, y, z)$, $i = 1, 2$, which satisfies **Assumption 7**, with $l^i(y) = \varphi^i(|2C|)$. We consider solutions $(Y^{i,C}, Z^{i,C}, K^{i,C})$ of the RBSDE(ξ^i, f_i^C, L^b) respectively. Using proposition 6.1, since

$$f_1^C(t, y, z) \leq f_2^C(t, y, z), \ \xi^1 \leq \xi^2,$$

we get for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$Y_t^{1,C} \leq Y_t^{2,C}, dK_t^{1,C} \geq dK_t^{2,C}.$$

Then by the bounded property of Y^i, we choose C big enough like in the proof of theorem 3.1, which follows immediately

$$Y_t^1 \leq Y_t^2, dK_t^1 \geq dK_t^2, \forall t \in [0, T].$$

□

Proposition 6.3 *Suppose that $\xi^i \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$, $f^i(s,y,z)$ satisfy the condition* ***Assumption 6****, and L^i satisfies* ***Assumption 3,*** *$i = 1,2$. The two triples (Y^1, Z^1, K^1), (Y^2, Z^2, K^2) are respectively solutions of the RBSDE(ξ^1, f^1, L) and RBSDE(ξ^2, f^2, L). If we have for $\forall (t,y,z) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\xi^1 \leq \xi^2, \;\; f^1(t,y,z) \leq f^2(t,y,z),\; L^1_t \leq L^2_t,$$

then $Y^1_t \leq Y^2_t$, for $t \in [0,T]$.

The result comes from the comparison theorem in [8] and the approximation in the proof of theorem 5.1.

References

[1] Briand, Ph., Delyon, B., Hu, Y., Pardoux, E. and Stoica, L. (2003) L_p solutions of BSDEs, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 108, 109-129.

[2] Ph. Briand, J.P. Lepeltier and J. San Martín, (2004) BSDE's with continuous, monotonicity, and non-Lipschitz in z coefficient. Submitted

[3] N. El Karoui, (1979) Les aspects probabilistes du contrôle stochastique. Ecole d'été de Saint-Flour, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **876. (**Springer, Berlin), 73-238.

[4] N. El Karoui, C. Kapoudjian, E. Pardoux, S. Peng and M.C. Quenez, (1997) Reflected Solutions of Backward SDE and Related Obstacle Problems for PDEs, *Ann. Probab.* **25**, no 2, 702–737.

[5] N. El Karoui, S. Peng and M.C. Quenez, (1997). Backward stochastic differential equations in Finance. *Math. Finance,* **7,** 1-71**.**

[6] M. Kobylanski, (2000) Backward stochastic differential equations and partial differential equations with quadratic growth, *Ann. Proba.* **28**, 558-602.

[7] M. Kobylanski, J.P. Lepeltier, M.C. Quenez and S.Torres, (2002) Reflected BSDE with superlinear quadratic coefficient. *Probability and Mathematical Statistics.* Vol. **22**, 51-83.

[8] J.P. Lepeltier, A. Matoussi and M. Xu, (2004) Reflected BSDEs under monotonicity and general increasing growth conditiond. *Advanced in applied probability*, to appear in March, 2005.

[9] J.P. Lepeltier and J. San Martín. (1998) Existence for BSDE with superlinear-quadratic coefficient, *Stochastic and Stochastic reports,* **63**, 227-240.

[10] A. Matoussi, (1997) Reflected solutions of backward stochastic differential equations with continuous coefficient, *Statistic & Probality Letters* **34**, 347-354.

[11] Pardoux, E., 1999. BSDE's, weak convergence and homogenization of semilinear PDE's in Nonlinear analysis, Differential Equations and Control, F. H. Clarke & R. J. Stern Eds , pp. 503-549, Kluwer Acad. Pub.

[12] E. Pardoux and S. Peng, (1990) Adapted solutions of Backward Stochastic Differential Equations. *Systems Control Lett.* **14,** 51-61.

[13] D. Revuz and M. Yor, (1991) Continuous artingales and Brownian motion (Springer, Berlin).